

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

GEORGE W. GANTT,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

SEWALL SMITH, Warden; ASSISTANT  
WARDEN WILSON; LIEUTENANT  
GRANT; LIEUTENANT AULU; SERGEANT  
LITTLE; SERGEANT CUNNINGHAM; JAKE  
SUTTON; SERGEANT COCOLOUGH;  
SERGEANT STATEN; SERGEANT  
PRESSBURY; BOGGS; M. ROBINSON;  
EMMANUAL NZEADIGHIBE,  
Defendants-Appellees,

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;

No. 94-7303

WALTER E. BLACK, JR.; JOSEPH HAAS;  
CLARA GOULD; KIM BERGER,  
Attorney, In their official and  
individual capacities; RICHARD  
LANHAM, SR., Senior Commissioner;  
PURNELL, Chief of Security,  
Individually and in their official  
capacities; CAPTAIN PORGUESE;  
CAPTAIN LEE; BIG STEWART; LITTLE  
STEWART; PAUL KNIGHT; ANDREWS;  
A. C.; MOBY; WALLACE; J. JOSEPH  
CURRAN, JR.; GLENN BELL, Assistant  
Attorney General, Individually and  
in their official capacities,  
Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt.  
Peter J. Messitte, District Judge.  
(CA-93-3765-PJM)

Submitted: January 18, 1996

Decided: February 5, 1996

Before HAMILTON and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges, and  
CHAPMAN, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

#### **COUNSEL**

George W. Gantt, Appellant Pro Se. John Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney  
General, Audrey J. S. Carrion, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GEN-  
ERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See  
Local Rule 36(c).

---

#### **OPINION**

##### **PER CURIAM:**

Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying relief on  
his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. We have reviewed the record  
and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accord-  
ingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Gantt v. Smith,  
No. CA-93-3765-PJM (D. Md. Oct. 27, 1994). We dispense with oral

argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process. Judge Luttig would impose sanctions for abuse of the judicial process.

AFFIRMED

LUTTIG, Circuit Judge, concurring:

Appellant has filed thirty-two appeals in this court between September 26, 1991, and today. I would impose sanctions against Appellant for abuse of the judicial process.